

**Final thesis supervisor's reference on the thesis draft for the pre-defense**

**Study programme\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Student (-e)** (Name, Surname)**:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Title of the thesis**:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation criteria** | | **Evaluation\*** | | **Comments** |
| 1. **1. Topicality of the topic, aim, tasks and structure of thesis** | | | | | |
| 1. | Theoretical and / or practical justification of the chosen topic | ☐ outstanding  ☐ good  ☐ satisfactory  ☐ unsatisfactory | |  |
|  | Research problem, question and / or hypothesis formulation (clarity, possibility to test / prove) | ☐ outstanding  ☐ good  ☐ satisfactory  ☐ unsatisfactory | |  |
|  | Definition of the goal of the thesis and formulation of tasks, their correspondence to the topic, research problem, question and / or hypothesis | ☐ outstanding  ☐ good  ☐ satisfactory  ☐ unsatisfactory | |  |
|  | Compliance of the plan with the set aims and objectives | ☐ outstanding  ☐ good  ☐ satisfactory  ☐ unsatisfactory | |  |
| **2. Evaluation of the theoretical part of the thesis** | | | | | |
|  | Compliance with the set goal and tasks | ☐ outstanding  ☐ good  ☐ satisfactory  ☐ unsatisfactory | |  |
|  | Assessment of chosen literature | ☐ outstanding  ☐ good  ☐ satisfactory  ☐ unsatisfactory | |  |
| **3. Evaluation of the research draft** | | | | | |
|  | Correspondence of the chosen theoretical and empirical research methods, research data processing methods to the set goal of the thesis | ☐ outstanding  ☐ good  ☐ satisfactory  ☐ unsatisfactory | |  |
|  | The expected practical importance of the research | ☐ outstanding  ☐ good  ☐ satisfactory  ☐ unsatisfactory | |  |
| 1. **Overall evaluation of the final thesis draft** | | | | | |
|  | The amount of work (at least 10 pages.) | ☐ adequate | ☐ not adequate |  |
|  | Assement of cooperation with academic supervisor | ☐ satisfactory  ☐ unsatisfactory | |  |
|  | Complies with the set requirements and is recommended for further development of the final version of the thesis | ☐ comply | ☐ does not comply |  |

**\*** The criteria for the evaluation: Unsatisfactory (0-39); satisfactory (40-59); good (60-79), outstanding (80-100)
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